



FOOD RECLAMATION PROJECT WORKING GROUP MINUTES

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10TH, 1:30-3:30AM, CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, 3 APPLEWOOD DRIVE, 3RD FLOOR

IN ATTENDANCE: Susan Kiley Mullaly, Ashley Hartnett, Elaine Radway, Steve van de Hoef, Kelly Mathieson, Kellie Brace, Maribeth deSnoo, Ruth Ingersoll, Wendy Anderson, Brandi Hildebrand, Beverley Bell-Rowbotham, Nicole Chevalier, Cathy McCallum

REGRETS: Erin Rivers. Kimberly Storms, Theresa Dostaler, Karen Ritchie, Cynthia Peters, Amy Kyle, Brandi Hodge, Joanne Munro-Cape, Susan Quaiff, Lindsey Belch

	Item	Discussions/Motions	Recommendations (things to go forward	Action (including Person Responsible
1	Malacus and Introductions		to the Networks)	And Completion Date)
1.	Welcome and Introductions			
2.	Review of Agenda	Nothing added		
3.	Accept Minutes	Accepted as distributed		
4.	Business Arising from Minutes: a) Select name for working group b) Finalize terms of reference	b) Adopted as distributed	a) Deferred to end of meeting since it may depend on the project	
5. a)	Work Plan Background of Food Reclamation Project Proposal	a)Susan: Definition of food reclamation : "rescuing, reclaiming and redistributing unused food for consumption" Rationale for food reclamation program : lot of food wasted, yet people are food insecure >> food reclamation helps to get food that might		





Item	Discussions/Motions	Recommendations (things to go forward	Action (including Person Responsible
		to the Networks)	And Completion Date)
	be wasted to people who are hungry		
	Legality of food reclamation : covered under		
	the Ontario Donation Food Act (1994)		
	Examples: 2 nd Harvest (Toronto) and Loving		
	Spoonful (Kingston)		
b)Loving Spoonful example of a food	b) Maribeth: 3 components to Loving		
reclamation project	Spoonful program:		
	 Food producers/processors/ 		
	distributors		
	2) Agencies that distribute or use food		
	3) Volunteers who are trained in food		
	safety		
c) Discussion of proposal	c) Proposal based on developing a pilot		
	project based on the Loving Spoonful		
	model. Discussion:		
	 General enthusiasm 		Keep in mind that food
	 accomplishes 2 things: impact on 		reclamation is not a total
	people who are hungry as well as		solution for food insecurity
	increased awareness of food		
	insecurity		
	 modest, sustainable, easy to 		Keep evaluation in mind
	initiate, measurable, with potential		
	to grow to all geographical areas,		
	and worthwhile from the start		
	 need to recognize that not all 		Need to consider how we can
	people who are food insecure go to		connect to people who are
	agencies		food insecure but do not go
	 Side benefits discussed: networking 		to agencies





Item	Discussions/Motions	Recommendations	Action
		(things to go forward to the Networks)	(including Person Responsible And Completion Date)
d) Summary of Discussion and Next Steps	of food providers, better understanding of food security, spin-offs (e.g. cooking/food preserving classes), understanding gaps in food distribution • Issues around reaching people with the greatest need vs. a quick win d) Kudos to the working group sub-team • General consensus of going ahead with a pilot project in south part of Hastings • Proposal and work plan will be refined	Recommendation for Pilot Food Reclamation Project will be presented to the CSYN and the FSN	Divide work among small teams Working Group sub-team (Sept. 24) CYSN Sept 26 Cathy will circulate the proposal to the FSN
6. New Business a) Select Working Group Name	Food Reclamation Project Working Group		
7. Next Meeting	Thursday, October 10, 2013, 1:30 – 3:30 CMHS		Kellie Brace to take minutes
8. Adjournment	3:20pm		