

COMMUNITY INDICATORS AND MEASURES COMMITTEE MINUTES

MONDAY, JANUARY 26, 2015, 9 – 10:30 AM, HIGHLAND SHORES CAS

IN ATTENDANCE:

Tami Callahan (Co-Chair) – Highland Shores Children’s Aid, **Jennifer Mills** – Quinte and District Rehabilitation, **Susan Ramsay** - Kingston Literacy and Skills, , **Carmela Ruberto** - Hastings County Social Services, **Ruth Ingersoll**, Community Development Council Quinte, **Beverley Bell-Rowbotham** – Data Analysis Coordinator, **Wendy Anderson** – Children and Youth Services Network

REGRETS: **Brandi Hodge** (Co-Chair) - United Way Quinte, **Margo Russell Bird**, Quinte Children’s Treatment Centre, **Tamara Kleinschmidt** – The Hub/TMFRC, **Angela Harvey** – Hastings and Prince Edward District School Board, **Shelly Brown** – Hastings and Prince Edward Counties Health Unit, **Pam Kent** – Prince Edward – Lennox & Addington Social Services,

MANDATE: Using Results Based Accountability (RBA), will propose the structure for and complete a community report card about the health and well-being of children, youth and families in Hastings-Prince Edward using indicators that have been identified by the Committee and endorsed by the Network. To develop tools necessary to evaluate Network operations, and provide analysis and interpretation of data to the Network.

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations (things go forward to the Network)	Action (including Person Responsible and Completion Date)
1. Welcome and Introductions	Quorum was not achieved. The committee decided to proceed with the meeting.		
2. Review of Agenda	The agenda was accepted as presented.		
3. Motion to Approve Minutes	The committee agreed to accept the previous minutes.		
4. Business Arising from Minutes a) Network Meeting Highlights <i>Review the results of the meeting and exercises that were completed</i>	a) Network Meeting Highlights		

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations <i>(things go forward to the Network)</i>	Action <i>(including Person Responsible and Completion Date)</i>
<p><i>there. CIMC needs to determine what recommendations to make to the network.</i></p>	<p>The committee reviewed the Tool Box exercise that was conducted at the Network meeting.</p> <p><i>Highlights:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thread of wanting to be hands on • More action, less talking; what are we doing for the community • More networking at the top; but less sharing at the top – disconnect • Network could be doing more meaningful connectivity exercises at the network and community level • Need to define results • Under old structure, all people at Network were on committees; that no longer occurs. We’ve lost some of that double engagement. • Network is not engaged: work is happening but it’s a small group of the Network that is involved in that; larger group is not aware of the work despite communication efforts (minutes, blogs, website, email updates, etc.) 		

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations <i>(things go forward to the Network)</i>	Action <i>(including Person Responsible and Completion Date)</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current campaigns (Family Engagement, No Wrong Door and two Red Flag Working Groups – food insecurity and early child development) will engage member organizations. Will need help from members to promote this information to the community. • We targeted red flags, but we’re not identifying gaps in service other than in those areas. • We’re not doing what our mandate says we are doing. • Do we need another type of inventory of services? Service Pathway Committee has done that with their Service Referral Guide by Function • Report Card 2013 brochure identified needs which are indicators of gaps in services. How are we doing this? What are the things we’ve done? There were other red flags we but only prioritized two. • Members of the Network are not feeling involved. A process was developed in the fall of 2013 to allow agencies to present identifying gaps and bring issues to the 		

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations <i>(things go forward to the Network)</i>	Action <i>(including Person Responsible and Completion Date)</i>
	<p>Network table – only two organizations have taken advantage of it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • E.D.s attend Network meetings and delegates attend committee meetings. Very practical process but can cause disconnect. • Could we add a standing agenda item to the Network agenda every month that will look at connectivity, engagement and identification of gaps and needs. It would bring constant focus and meaningful networking. • Is Network meeting enough? Survey conducted indicated monthly meetings were too often especially for those people participating on committee meetings and Network meetings. • We do not have strong advocacy at political level, ex., attempts to engage MOH and LHIN have not been successful. Working on municipal advocacy right now, but nothing at provincial level. • Mandate and Vision need to align more closely with what the Ministry is giving funding for. Ministry funding guidelines are 	<p>Recommendations to the Network:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mandate and Vision exercise to occur in April. Reconfirming exercise. • Having clearer mandate will help the group move forward. • Important to look back to our committees and working groups. Service Pathway and the two Red 	<p>Tami will bring forward discussion at February Network meeting.</p> <p>Action:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beverley will summarize the flip chart and divide into internal/external. • Share summary back with the group. • Summary will go to Coordinating Team for February 9th. We'll finalize in the minutes and send those out as well.

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations <i>(things go forward to the Network)</i>	Action <i>(including Person Responsible and Completion Date)</i>
	<p>prenatal-6 oriented. Youth are not in the ministry guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differences of opinion with members regarding what they want at meetings: do we need to identify gaps or stick with red flags once they are identified. • Suggestion noted regarding including Northumberland and Algonquin considering the restructuring of certain agencies. 	<p>Flag groups all came from the Network as a result of data collected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion about how to achieve mandate and vision. How will we know where we are and if we are making improvements? 	
b) Coordinating Team Update	Nothing further to report. There has not been a meeting since the last report.		
<p>5. New Business</p> <p>5.1 Ontario Trillium Foundation – Update on Application for the Data Repository</p>	<p>Response is included in the meeting package. Sadly, we were not successful.</p> <p>The committee agreed that a number of members could contact the OFT to find out where the application fell short. Perhaps there are other funding options available.</p> <p>Layering on other community players could show community impact / benefit.</p>		Brandi, Ruth, Beverley, Tamara

Item	Discussion/Motions	Recommendations <i>(things go forward to the Network)</i>	Action <i>(including Person Responsible and Completion Date)</i>
5.2 Election of Co-Chair	By June meeting, we need a new co-chair. Brandi will be on for another year.		June 2015
5.3 Committee Work Plan	Defer to April or June		April or June 2015
5.4 Coordinator's Update	The committee supported adding a page to the Resource section of the website that included community research and information'. This page will not replace the data repository, but house reports from various agencies applicable to the work of the Network.		Members to forward reports to Wendy for uploading.
6. Next Meeting	February 23, 9-10:30am, HSCA office Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standing item until June: Election of Co-Chair • Report back re OTF follow up • Prep for February Network Meeting discussion? 		If you are attending or not, contact: Tami.Callahan@HighlandShoresCAS.com bhodge@unitedwayofquinte.ca wanderson@cmhs-hpe.on.ca
7. Adjournment			